



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/682,484	09/07/2001	Wen-Sung Tsai	CEIP0027USA	4155
27765	7590 06/02/2005		EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE (NAIPC) P.O. BOX 506			NGUYEN, MAIKHANH	
	MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			PAPER NUMBER
	,		2176	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/682,484	TSAI, WEN-SUNG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MALL DIO DATE CALL	Maikhanh Nguyen	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 November 2004.					
2a)☑ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	tion Summany Po	et of Paper No /Mail Data 20050500			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to the following communication: Amendment filed 11/16/2004 original application filed 09/07/2001.
- Claims 1-17 are currently pending in this application. Claims 9-17 have been added.
 Claims 1 and 3-4 have been amended. Claims 1 and 10 are independent claims

Priority

3. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35

U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), and based on application # 090107623 filed in Taiwan on 03/30/2001, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the **abbreviation** used in this claim (PDA) should be defined. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2176

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Mousseau et al. (U.S. 6,477,529 filed 12/1999).

As to independent claim 1:

- a. APA teaches (Fig. 1) a personal data assistant (PDA 10; para.0006) connected to a server (the computer 40; para.0007), the server having a plurality of application programs (the computer 40 comprises a plurality of application program 44; para.0007) the PDA comprising:
 - (i) a housing (a housing; para.0006);
 - (ii) a memory installed in the housing for storing programs and data (a memory 14 for storing application programs 16 and files 18; para.0007);
 - (iii) a processor electrically connected to the memory for executing the programs stored in the memory (a processor 20 electronically connected to the memory 14 for executing the application programs 16; para.0007);
 - (iv) a display panel installed on the housing for displaying data (a display panel 22 installed on the housing 12; para.0006); and
 - (v) an input device for inputting data (an input device 24; para.0006);

Art Unit: 2176

the server then opening the file using a corresponding application program (e.g., the file is opened by a corresponding application program 44; para.0008).

- b. APA does teach the PDA is capable of sending a file through the wire 30, but does not explicitly teach "sending a file to the server via network." Also, APA does not explicitly teach "sending images generated by the opening file back to the PDA."
- c. Mousseau teaches sending a file via the network and sending images generated by the opening file back to the PDA (col.7, lines 35-43).
- d. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the feature from Mousseau in the system of APA because it would have provided the capability for downloading a necessary file to a PDA from the host device connected via a communication network and processing the download file (viewing, editing) by the PDA.

As to dependent claim 2:

APA teaches a data transceiving module, the file being sent from the data transceiving module of the PDA to the data transceiving module of the server so that the server is capable of opening the file (para.0008).

As to dependent claim 3:

APA teaches when the server opens the file, the server will transmit the images generated by the opened file via the data transceiving module of the server to the data transceiving Art Unit: 2176

module of the PDA so that the display panel is capable of displaying the images generated by the opened file (para.0008).

As to dependent claim 4:

APA teaches the server opens the file, a user is capable of using the input device to enter a user instruction, the user instruction being transmitted from the data transceiving module of the PDA to the data transceiving module of the server so that the server is capable of executing the user instruction (para.0008). Refer to the claim 1 for "the server transmitting new images generated by the opened file generated by executing the user instruction to the data transceiving module of the PDA from the data transceiving module of the server so that the display panel is capable of displaying the new images generated by the opened file."

As to dependent claim 5:

APA teaches a user is capable of using the input device to enter a file back instruction, the file back instruction being transmitted from the data transceiving module of the PDA to the data transceiving module of the server, when receiving the file back instruction, the server transmitting the file back to the PDA via the data transceiving module of the server back and the data transceiving module of the PDA (para.0006 and para.0008).

As to dependent claim 6:

APA teaches a user is capable of using the input device to enter a file close instruction, the file close instruction being transmitted from the data transceiving module of the PDA to the data transceiving module of the server so as to make the server close the file (para.0006).

Art Unit: 2176

As to dependent claim 7:

a. Mousseau teaches the network is an Internet (an Internet; col.4, lines 26-41).

b. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the feature from Mousseau in the system of APA because it would have provided the capability for downloading a necessary file from the host device connected via a communication network and immediately carry out the process of the download file.

As to dependent claim 9:

a. Mousseau teaches by using the input device, the images generated by the opened filed can be edited on the display panel (col. 10, lines 3-9).

b. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the feature from Mousseau in the system of APA because it would have provided the capability for improving the performance of a the PDA since it does not need to install a program in its memory for opening files.

As to independent claim 10:

a. The rejection of claim 1 above is incorporated herein in full. Additionally, APA further teaches:

(i) uploading a file from PDA to the server (upload the files to the PC; para.0005);

Art Unit: 2176

the server opening the file utilizing an appropriate application software stored on the server (the user must open/edit the file by a corresponding application programs 44 in the computer 40); and

- (iii) downloading the file form the server to the PDA (downloads the updated file from the computer 40 back to the PDA; para.0008).
- b. APA, however, does not specifically teach editing the file on the display panel utilizing a user input device comprised by the PDA.
- c. Mousseau teaches editing the file on the display panel utilizing a user input device comprised by the PDA (a handheld device configured to receive a document transmitted from the document server, the handheld device being further configured to edit selected portions of the document in response to user input; col.10, lines 3-9).
- d. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the feature from Mousseau in the system of APA because it would have provided the capability for improving the performance of a the PDA since it does not need to install a program in its memory for opening files.

As to dependent claims 11-13:

They include the same limitations as in claims 2-4, and are similarly rejected under the same rationale.

As to dependent claim 14:

APA teaches the user instruction is a file editing command (para.0008).

Art Unit: 2176

As to dependent claims 15-16:

They include the same limitations as in claims 5-6, and are similarly rejected under the

same rationale.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant

Admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Mousseau and further in view of Jakobson (U.S.

6,697,838 - filed 03/1998).

As to dependent claim 8:

a. The combination of APA and Mousseau does not explicitly teach "the memory is

a flash memory."

b. Jakobson teaches the memory is a flash memory (flash memory; col.5, lines 50-

56).

c. It would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to combine Jakobson's teachings in the system of APA as

modified by Mousseau because it would have provided the capability for storing

data, more particularly, for enabling the PDA to support new protocols as they

become standardized.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 11/16/2004 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Art Unit: 2176

a. Applicant argues that Neither the APA nor any the other references cited by the Examiner as pertinent to patentability, alone or in combination, disclose or suggest the claimed above recited features of the present applicant. (Remarks, page 9, 1st full para.)

b. In response, the combination of APA, Mousseau, and Jakobson meets the limitations as claimed by Applicant.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Suzuki U.S. Patent No. 6,493,743 issued: Dec. 10, 2002

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,484

Art Unit: 2176

Page 10

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maikhanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00am - 5:30

pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph H Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Maikhanh Nguyen May 31, 2005

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
May 31, 2005